

## SEE POMP OF WAR

Governors View Evolutions of Great Battleships.

Fleet Review Big Event at Boston Conference.

## HYDRA-HEADED STATE PLAYED

Hammond of Minnesota, Opposes Board Control.

Holds Divided Authority Militates Against Efficiency.

Boston, Aug. 25.—A review of the North Atlantic fleet off Boston light was the principal event arranged for today's entertainment of the present conference executives of nearly thirty states, who are here attending the annual governors' conference. From the deck of the flagship Wyoming the visitors were to watch the evolutions of nine great battleships and a large flotilla of torpedo craft.

Governors Charles S. Whitman of New York and Winfield S. Hammond of Minnesota, and former Governor Cole S. Bleese of South Carolina, were the speakers at the forenoon business session.

Two Many Governors. Governor Hammond, addressing the conference on "Efficiency and Economy in State Government," said that one reason for the present lack of efficiency was that, in effect, most states have several governors instead of one. Enumerating the various state commissions and boards which have come into being as a part of the executive department of a state administration, he said:

"All this has resulted in giving the state not one governor but many. There are governors, one of whom is elected, the others appointed by various boards and commissions. The governor of the state bears about the same relationship to many of these boards as he bears to the courts, and it is fundamental that the executive and the judicial departments shall be independent of each other. If the governor appoints his successors, so if one of these members should resign, the governor could appoint his successor. It is much to do with the policies and the work of these boards as he has with the hearing and determination of cases of law."

No Co-ordination Between Branches. "There is little or no co-ordination between these various bodies," inspectors, examiners, investigators, and employees of these various governing bodies, busy in the performance of their duties, are traveling over the state, sometimes several of them descending at the same time upon a little hamlet of two or three hundred inhabitants, where all examinations and investigations for all of the state departments could be done by a single man in half a day. Salaries are increasing, while in political campaigns the cry for economy goes merrily on.

In Minnesota for some time there has been a feeling that there should be a thorough reorganization of these various offices, that they should be included in the executive department, and should be under the control and direction of the executive himself or department officers selected by him. Mr. predecessor of the office appointed a commission of thirty citizens of the state, known as the "efficiency and economy commission." They were asked to make a study of our system of state government, to suggest changes, if needed, to make that government an efficient one and an economical one.

The members of this body made a report to the last legislature and presented a proposed bill for enactment into law."

## KILL UNNEUTRAL CLERIC

Assassins Strike Down Gary Minister of Pro-German Tendencies.

Gary, Ind., Aug. 25.—Gary's whole police force worked throughout the night to apprehend the murderers of the Rev. Edmund Kayser, pastor of St. James Evangelical church, in Toltown, a suburb. No progress has been made, but developments which will aid in determining the cause of the assault are expected today.

The attack is said to have followed threats on the life of the minister because of his pro-German utterances. The Rev. Mr. Kayser's body was found in a lot adjoining his home last night. He had been shot from a bullet wound in the throat, but there was another bullet in his shoulder and window cords were wrapped around his neck.

The Rev. Mr. Kayser, whose home is in a community of Slav steel workers, a month ago appealed to Chief of Police Heintz for permission to carry a revolver, alleging threats has been made against him.

## NAVY YARD GRIEVANCES

Metal Trades Men Discuss Labor Trouble With U. S. Official.

Washington, Aug. 25.—Executive officers of the metal trades division of the American Federation of Labor today presented grievances of machinist employees at the Norfolk navy yard to Assistant Secretary Roosevelt and urged readjustment of working schedules.

Grievances of the men are based primarily upon supervision of work by an industrial manager. The system, it is claimed, results in scientific

## NEW JEWELRY STYLES

Retail Dealers Plan to Make Their Business More Prosperous.

New York, Aug. 25.—Jewelry is to change with the seasons. Henceforth it will be as unfashionable to wear last year's style jewelry as to drive last year's automobile; next year's earrings will dangle differently from this year's; necklaces will shift their size and shape, and in the ring department long engagements will take on a fresh embellishment—all of which is calculated to make for the prosperity of the American National Retail Jewelers' association, which has just opened its tenth annual convention in Grand Central Palace.

It is the first time that the jewelers got together like makers of women's clothing to decide the fashions for the coming season.

## QUITS K. U. POSITION

F. H. Harrington Will Be Illinois U. Professor of Journalism.

Lawrence, Kan., Aug. 25.—Professor F. H. Harrington who taught classes in journalism last year at Kansas University, is not coming back this year. Professor Harrington has accepted a position as head of the department of journalism at the University of Illinois.

Last year was Professor Harrington's first year at K. U. but in that time he had become very popular with the students. He was assistant professor of journalism. He has had practical work in the newspaper field and during the past summer has been on the editorial desk of the Columbus, Ohio, Dispatch. His resignation was received at the university Monday morning.

That the Eastman Kodak Company of New Jersey acquired many stock houses engaged in different states in selling photographic supplies manufactured by the defendants and their competitors.

That the defendants, with the intention of monopolizing the importation acquired the exclusive right to sell the United States and foreign raw paper stock from European paper mills—a necessity in the manufacture of photographic papers.

That from 1899 to 1903 all Eastman products were sold by dealers under restrictions and with discounts which stifled competition.

Movie Contention Thrown Out.

Judge Hazel dismissed the government's claim that contracts for the manufacture of motion picture film entered into by the defendants and the motion picture patents committee were violations of the statute.

The court quoted the great gains and profits of the company for the year, which amounted to \$15,633,333, or about 17 per cent on total sales of \$24,783,497.55 as showing the large disproportion between the cost of manufacture and the price paid by the consumers.

The court held that the Eastman company controlled approximately 75 per cent or 80 per cent of the market and had, accordingly, obtained a monopoly.

## CUT CLERICAL WORK

W. J. V. Deacon, State Registrar, Eliminates Work.

W. J. V. Deacon, state registrar of vital statistics, is attempting to eliminate a vast amount of the clerical work in his department occasioned by carelessness in filling out death certificates.

To this end he is sending to the physicians of the state instructions on the making of such reports. Observance of these instructions, he asserts, will save the state about \$1,000 a year.

## THE WEATHER RECORD.

Following are observations of the United States weather bureau for the twenty-four hours ending at 7 o'clock this morning:

Stations	High	Low	Rain
Amherst, Mass.	78	54	0
Boston, Mass.	78	54	0
Concordia, Mass.	74	50	0
Dodge City, Kan.	78	60	0
Des Moines, Ia.	78	60	0
Emporia, Kan.	74	52	0
Goodland, Kan.	78	60	0
Hays, Kan.	78	60	0
Horton, Kan.	74	52	0
Independence, Mo.	78	60	0
Liberal, Kan.	78	60	0
McKeanville, Mo.	78	60	0
Meriden, Conn.	78	60	0
Manhattan, Kan.	78	60	0
Meriden, Conn.	78	60	0
Scott City, Kan.	78	60	0
Sedan, Kan.	78	60	0
Wichita, Kan.	78	60	0

## Reports From Other States.

Amherst, Mass.	78	54	0
Boston, Mass.	78	54	0
Concordia, Mass.	74	50	0
Dodge City, Kan.	78	60	0
Des Moines, Ia.	78	60	0
Emporia, Kan.	74	52	0
Goodland, Kan.	78	60	0
Hays, Kan.	78	60	0
Horton, Kan.	74	52	0
Independence, Mo.	78	60	0
Liberal, Kan.	78	60	0
McKeanville, Mo.	78	60	0
Meriden, Conn.	78	60	0
Manhattan, Kan.	78	60	0
Meriden, Conn.	78	60	0
Scott City, Kan.	78	60	0
Sedan, Kan.	78	60	0
Wichita, Kan.	78	60	0

## SEE THE

Before You Buy a Piano Not After

Write If You Cannot Call

822 KANSAS AVE.

P. P. WHITMORE, MANAGER

## KODAK FIRM TRUST

Eastman Gets Time to Correct Its Methods.

Court Fails to Order Dissolution of Camera Concern.

Buffalo, N. Y., Aug. 25.—The Eastman Kodak company of Rochester is a monopoly in restraint of trade in violation of the Sherman anti-trust law, according to a decision handed down here late yesterday by Judge John R. Hazel, of the United States district court. The decision grants the defendant company an opportunity to present a plan "for the abrogation of the illegal monopoly" on the first day of the November term.

Judge Hazel, in his opinion, stated that while it appeared that no irreparable injury would be done by a separation of the present business in two or more separate companies, it was not at this time intended to indicate either a dissolution, division or reorganization of the company. It no doubt is possible, he said, that an adequate measure of relief might result from enjoining the unfair practices of the terms of sale agreement from a separation business side, but the defendant should have until November to present to the court a plan for the abrogation of the illegal monopoly.

The bill was filed June 9, 1913, against the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

## KODAK FIRM TRUST

Eastman Gets Time to Correct Its Methods.

Court Fails to Order Dissolution of Camera Concern.

Buffalo, N. Y., Aug. 25.—The Eastman Kodak company of Rochester is a monopoly in restraint of trade in violation of the Sherman anti-trust law, according to a decision handed down here late yesterday by Judge John R. Hazel, of the United States district court. The decision grants the defendant company an opportunity to present a plan "for the abrogation of the illegal monopoly" on the first day of the November term.

Judge Hazel, in his opinion, stated that while it appeared that no irreparable injury would be done by a separation of the present business in two or more separate companies, it was not at this time intended to indicate either a dissolution, division or reorganization of the company. It no doubt is possible, he said, that an adequate measure of relief might result from enjoining the unfair practices of the terms of sale agreement from a separation business side, but the defendant should have until November to present to the court a plan for the abrogation of the illegal monopoly.

The bill was filed June 9, 1913, against the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester.

The bill alleged, among other things, that from 1902 to 1908 the Eastman company of New York in Kansas, the Eastman Kodak Company of New York, George Eastman, Henry A. Strong, Walter S. Hubbard and Frank S. Noble, all of Rochester